	Table 48a Number of Venture Capital Investments by State of Investment, Wisconsin and Select Other States, 1991 - 2001														
	1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 ¹														
Colorado	18	48	42	45	36	56	72	105	124	153	74				
Illinois	18	36	36	34	28	48	68	80	89	135	64				
Minnesota	11	25	25	23	29	37	67	75	56	86	53				
Ohio	9	22	18	20	19	40	50	54	44	51	23				
Michigan	3	17	14	7	14	23	23	23	36	39	17				
Wisconsin	2	7	10	9	5	4	14	10	14	18	13				
Indiana	2	5	9	7	6	12	15	9	9	19	7				
Iowa	2	3	5	6	2	4	7	11	4	5	1				
Nebraska	na	1	5	2	4	3	4	5	3	5	1				
Peer States	63	157	154	144	138	223	306	362	365	493	240				

Note: 1 through September 30, 2001

Source: Venture Economics/NVCA/Thomson Financial Securities Data and Andersen

	Table 48b													
	Value of Venture Capital Investments by State of Investment (\$M),													
	Wisconsin and Select Other States,													
	1991 - 2001													
	1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 ¹													
Colorado	\$20.50													
Illinois	\$12.10	\$12.10 \$67.40 \$113.00 \$173.50 \$181.70 \$303.60 \$417.40 \$547.10 \$1,254.00 \$2,373.00 \$583.9												
Minnesota	\$7.70	\$58.30	\$40.60	\$47.70	\$165.30	\$115.30	\$251.10	\$655.10	\$526.80	\$1,143.40	\$437.20			
Ohio	\$8.20	\$70.20	\$73.40	\$85.40	\$50.80	\$147.50	\$283.40	\$299.30	\$520.80	\$724.40	\$154.00			
Michigan	\$0.90	\$33.10	\$51.80	\$19.20	\$54.20	\$81.40	\$144.30	\$135.30	\$448.00	\$542.00	\$98.20			
Wisconsin	\$8.20	\$32.70	\$33.30	\$15.80	\$8.80	\$12.70	\$93.10	\$94.90	\$123.30	\$150.00	\$68.30			
Indiana	\$0.60	\$20.90	\$37.90	\$29.30	\$17.80	\$125.00	\$36.50	\$48.10	\$157.60	\$289.90	\$48.10			
Nebraska	na	\$0.10	\$18.60	\$3.50	\$22.20	\$7.20	\$12.40	\$16.80	\$19.40	\$99.40	\$3.00			
Iowa	\$0.50	\$1.40	\$26.00	\$21.80	\$1.40	\$13.80	\$17.30	\$33.40	\$6.90	\$24.20	\$0.10			
Peer States	50.50	386.70	527.00	572.80	631.90	1,099.20	1,520.00	2,515.00	5,031.00	9,914.90	2,424.70			

Note: 1 through September 30, 2001

Source: Venture Economics/NVCA/Thomson Financial Securities Data and Andersen

	Table 48c Average Value of Venture Capital Investments by State of Investment (\$M), Wisconsin and Select Other States, 1991 - 2001														
	1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 ¹														
Colorado	\$1.14	\$2.82	\$3.95	\$4.28	\$3.85	\$5.45	\$4.97	\$7.43	\$16.92	\$30.84	\$14.87				
Illinois	\$0.67	\$1.87	\$3.14	\$5.10	\$6.49	\$6.33	\$6.14	\$6.84	\$14.09	\$17.58	\$9.12				
Minnesota	\$0.70	\$2.33	\$1.62	\$2.07	\$5.70	\$3.12	\$3.75	\$8.73	\$9.41	\$13.30	\$8.25				
Indiana	\$0.30	\$4.18	\$4.21	\$4.19	\$2.97	\$10.42	\$2.43	\$5.34	\$17.51	\$15.26	\$6.87				
Ohio	\$0.91	\$3.19	\$4.08	\$4.27	\$2.67	\$3.69	\$5.67	\$5.54	\$11.84	\$14.20	\$6.70				
Michigan	\$0.30	\$1.95	\$3.70	\$2.74	\$3.87	\$3.54	\$6.27	\$5.88	\$12.44	\$13.90	\$5.78				
Wisconsin	\$4.10	\$4.67	\$3.33	\$1.76	\$1.76	\$3.18	\$6.65	\$9.49	\$8.81	\$8.33	\$5.25				
Nebraska	na	\$0.10	\$3.72	\$1.75	\$5.55	\$2.40	\$3.10	\$3.36	\$6.47	\$19.88	\$3.00				
lowa	\$0.25 \$0.47 \$5.20 \$3.63 \$0.70 \$3.45 \$2.47 \$3.04 \$1.73 \$4.84 \$0.10														
Peer States	\$0.80	\$2.46	\$3.42	\$3.98	\$4.58	\$4.93	\$4.97	\$6.95	\$13.78	\$20.11	\$10.10				

Note: 1 through September 30, 2001

Source: Venture Economics/NVCA/Thomson Financial Securities Data and Andersen

	Table 48d														
	Value of Venture Capital Investments by State of Investment per 100,000 residents,														
	Wisconsin and Select Other States,														
1991 - 2001															
	1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 ¹														
Colorado	\$605,894	605,894 \$3,893,662 \$4,643,024 \$5,249,300 \$3,679,291 \$7,899,532 \$9,006,329 \$19,125,252 \$50,082,754 \$109,702,713 \$24,905,													
Minnesota	\$173,944	73,944 \$1,301,651 \$895,898 \$1,040,299 \$3,563,029 \$2,456,307 \$5,286,972 \$13,632,476 \$10,834,772 \$23,242,299 \$8,783,506													
Illinois	\$104,982														
Ohio	\$75,252	\$641,302	\$667,485	\$773,077	\$457,771	\$1,323,112	\$2,530,605	\$2,660,425	\$4,608,241	\$6,380,614	\$1,350,282				
Wisconsin	\$166,092	\$656,270	\$662,185	\$311,310	\$171,798	\$245,663	\$1,784,375	\$1,802,200	\$2,320,066	\$2,796,590	\$1,261,707				
Michigan	\$9,618	\$351,361	\$546,198	\$201,102	\$563,908	\$841,256	\$1,481,373	\$1,379,718	\$4,538,007	\$5,453,570	\$981,494				
Indiana	\$10,723	\$370,075	\$664,925	\$509,320	\$306,572	\$2,133,108	\$617,142	\$805,800	\$2,615,943	\$4,767,712	\$783,784				
Nebraska	na	\$6,234	\$1,150,188	\$214,691	\$1,350,791	\$434,568	\$742,397	\$997,731	\$1,142,866	\$5,808,575	\$173,898				
Iowa	\$17,912	\$49,892	\$921,723	\$768,785	\$49,113	\$481,582	\$600,564	\$1,153,403	\$237,031	\$826,976	\$3,399				
Peer States	\$101,866	\$773,214	\$1,044,539	\$1,125,396	\$1,230,663	\$2,122,052	\$2,908,782	\$4,770,831	\$9,460,165	\$18,480,815	\$4,480,012				

Note: 1 through September 30, 2001

Source: Venture Economics/NVCA/Thomson Financial Securities Data and Andersen

Table 49 Cybercity Rankings, Milwaukee and Comparable MSAs, 2000

Milwau	ukee	Chica	ago	Minnea	apolis
Number	Rank	Number	Rank	Number	Rank
28,012	40	180,425	3	98,431	9
2,600		38,200	5	20,100	
38		52		69	22
\$50,900	37	\$57,600	26	\$54,400	32
60%	•	53%		53%	
1,069	37	7,114	3	4,042	8
\$27	52	\$1,400	10	\$437	22
\$78	42	\$606	6	\$363	15
49%	52	52%	44	65%	9
69%	50	41%	47	49%	19
	Number 28,012 2,600 38 \$50,900 60% 1,069 \$27 \$78	28,012 40 2,600 38 \$50,900 37 60% 1,069 37 \$27 52 \$78 42 49% 52	Number Rank Number 28,012 40 180,425 2,600 38,200 38 52 \$50,900 37 \$57,600 60% 53% 1,069 37 7,114 \$27 52 \$1,400 \$78 42 \$606 49% 52 52%	Number Rank Number Rank 28,012 40 180,425 3 2,600 38,200 5 38 52 \$50,900 37 \$57,600 26 60% 53% 1,069 37 7,114 3 \$27 52 \$1,400 10 \$78 42 \$606 6 49% 52 52% 44	Number Rank Number Rank Number 28,012 40 180,425 3 98,431 2,600 38,200 5 20,100 38 52 69 \$50,900 37 \$57,600 26 \$54,400 60% 53% 53% 1,069 37 7,114 3 4,042 \$27 52 \$1,400 10 \$437 \$78 42 \$606 6 \$363 49% 52 52% 44 65%

Source: American Electronics Association

Table 50 Cyberstate Rankings, Wisconsin and Select States, 2001

	Colora	ado	Illino	is	India	na	lowa	а	Michig	an	Minnes	sota	Nebra	ska	Ohi	0	Wiscor	nsin
	Number	Rank																
High-tech workers	180,866	10	220,952	6	67,910	23	45,034	27	105,626	17	138,007	13	36,644	32	149,210	12	71,931	22
Jobs added between 1993 - 1998	75,600	4	41,400	11	-3,400	51	16,600	23	21,800	19	33,400	15	9,400	29	35,000	12	18,600	21
High-tech employment per 1,000	97	1	43	22	26	39	37	29	27	38	61	7	50	19	31	33	30	36
Average high-tech wage	\$66,378	8	\$62,438	11	\$43,061	41	\$40,307	45	\$54,897	22	\$55,118	21	\$46,425	35	\$50,739	27	\$46,747	34
Percent difference to average	93%		72%		43%		52%		53%		64%		76%		63%		60%	
private sector wage																		
High-tech establishments	6,383	12	11,426	4	3,220	21	1,964	30	5,353	15	5,814	13	1,211	36	6,654	11	2,835	22
High-tech exports (millions)	\$4,100	11	\$6,200	7	\$1,700	24	\$442	36	\$1,700	25	\$4,400	10	\$248	40	\$2,500	17	\$2,000	22
High-tech exports as percent of	62%		20%		11%		10%		5%		43%		10%		9%		19%	
overall exports																		
Venture Capital Investments in 1999	\$4,700	5	\$2,200	11	\$288	27	\$23	41	\$514	24	\$1,100	17	\$51	37	\$652	21	\$303	26
(million)																		
University R&D Expenditures in	\$4,600	14	\$8,800	7	\$3,100	18	\$1,100	34	\$13,700	2	\$3,800	16	\$315	42	\$7,000	11	\$2,500	23
1997 (million)																		
Percent of households with a	63%	4	50%	28	49%	32	54%	20	51%	25	57%	10	49%	31	50%	30	51%	26
computer in August 2000																		
Percent of households with internet	52%	3	39%	28	39%	31	37%	35	41%	24	42%	20	37%	39	39%	29	40%	27
access in August 2000																		

Source: American Electronics Association

Table 51

Metros Most Sensitive to High-Tech Recession Ranked by Peak to Trough
United State MSAs,
1999

	199	l I	
		Danas et Daniina	Danasat Daniina
		Percent Decline,	Percent Decline,
	Tank Dala	Peak to	Cycle Relative to
_	Tech-Pole	Trough	Trend
1	Rochester, MN	-11.61	-20.85
2	Eau Claire, WI	-9.78	-17.74
3	South Bend, IN	-8.86	-13.93
4	Wichita, KS	-8.74	-13.33
5	Killeen-Temple, TX	-8.24	-15.34
6	Williamsport, PA	-8.03	-12.39
7	Tucson, AZ	-7.84	-13.44
8	La Crosse, WI-MN	-6.57	-14.39
9	Sheboygan, WI	-6.27	-8.11
10	Jamestown, NY	-6.2	-12.35
11	Benton Harbr, MI	-6.02	-10.88
12	Dutchess County, NY	-5.73	-15.66
Ш	West Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL	-5.72	-11.41
14	Rockford, IL	-5.6	-10.07
15	Lexington, KY	-5.3	-10.67
H	Fort Collins-Loveland, CO	-5.27	-13.4
17	Greeley, CO	-5.2	-12.36
18	Yakima, WA	-5.18	-8.46
19	Fayetteville, NC	-4.95	-7.97
20	Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX	-4.93	-9.26
21	Utica-Rome, NY	-4.85	-10.48
22	Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR	-4.83	-8.17
23	Baton Rouge, LA	-4.8	-7.35
24	Boise City, ID	-4.73	-15.31
25	Savannah, GA	-4.72	-8.3
26	Knoxville, TN	-4.7	-9.48
27	Mansfield, OH	-4.69	-7.43
28	Amarillo, TX	-4.59	-8.62
29	Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC	-4.54	-12.18
30	Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito, TX	-4.51	-9.52
31	Erie, PA	-4.41	-8.28
32	Lake Charles, LA	-4.38	-6.8
33	Parkerburg-Marietta, WV-OH	-4.28	-7.55
	Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL	-4.22	-7
35	Hamilton-Middletown, OH	-4.16	-8.73
36	Binghamton, NY	-4.15	-11.22
37		-4.13	-10.74
38	Greenville-Spartanburg-Anderson, SC	-4.13	-8.05
39	Altoona, PA	-4.11	-7.24
	Mobile, AL	-4.1	-7.9
Ш	Bellingham, WA	-4.09	-8.66
42		-4.08	-7.33
	Toledo, OH	-4.03	-10.01
44	Houston, TX	-3.96	-8.85
45	Lewiston-Auburn, ME	-3.83	-7.73
	Roanoke, VA	-3.81	-8.6
47		-3.77	-8.57
48	Santa Fe, NM	-3.77	-8.76
49		-3.77	-9.99
Ш	Punta Gorda, FL	-3.75	-6.38
		5.70	0.00

Sources: Milken Institute

			Table 52										
"America's High-Tech Economy" Wisconsin and Comparable MSAs,													
	Wisc	consin an	•	ole MSAs,									
	NA:II I		1999		0/ -£ N -4:	I DI	Dalatina (2. 44 04					
	Milken II			2		onal Real		Output Growth					
	Tech-F	Pole '		Quotient 2		out ³	•	0-1998) 4					
	Score	Rank ⁵	Score	Rank ⁵	Score	Rank ⁵	Score	Rank ⁵					
Madison													
Madison, WI MSA	0.112	90	0.801	94	0.140	96	1.06131	112					
Ann Arbor, MI PMSA	0.129	85	0.797	95	0.161	90	0.96703	147					
Boulder-Longmont, CO PMSA	1.123	27	2.891	6	0.389	51	1.39311	47					
Columbus, OH MSA	0.390	54	0.804	93	0.485	41	1.01782	126					
Lansing-East Lansing, MI MSA	0.031	150	0.458	164	0.068	130	1.17609	84					
Rochester, MN MSA	1.953	16	5.559	1	0.351	56	0.85446	203					
Milwaukee													
Milwaukee-Waukesha, WI PMSA	0.251	68	0.631	121	0.398	50	0.74821	232					
Chicago, IL PMSA	3.751	8	0.998	67	3.759	5	1.20389	78					
Cleveland-Lorain-Elyria, OH PMSA	0.225	69	0.495	146	0.453	43	0.68511	256					
Denver, CO PMSA	1.812	19	1.393	34	1.301	20	1.47048	38					
Des Moines, IA MSA	0.063	116	0.568	138	0.112	108	0.98583	138					
Detroit, MI PMSA	0.790	38	0.660	117	1.197	21	0.92570	160					
Indianapolis, IN MSA	1.070	29	1.278	45	0.837	30	0.86098	201					
Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN-WI MSA	0.981	32	0.858	85	1.143	22	0.99948	133					
Omaha, NE-IA MSA	0.405	52	1.151	56	0.352	55	1.16911	86					
Other Wisconsin													
Appleton-Oshkosh-Neenah, WI MSA	0.009	207	0.268	240	0.035	188	0.86298	199					
Duluth-Superior, MN-WI MSA	0.048	129	0.812	92	0.059	138	1.10473	99					
Eau Claire, WI MSA	0.034	142	0.846	86	0.040	178	1.06431	110					
Green Bay, WI MSA	0.003	253	0.162	294	0.019	227	0.91438	167					
Janesville-Beloit, WI MSA	0.002	272	0.175	287	0.009	272	0.71637	240					
Kenosha, WI PMSA	0.002	280	0.227	258	0.008	281	1.58012	27					
La Crosse, WI-MN MSA	0.002	274	0.187	281	0.009	274	1.20164	80					
Racine, WI PMSA	0.002	263	0.146	297	0.011	257	0.71329	241					
Sheboygan, WI MSA	0.002	266	0.220	264	0.010	264	0.78321	222					
Wausau, WI MSA	0.001	301	0.121	304	0.006	300	0.55162	290					

Notes

Source: Milken Institute and Andersen

¹ Milken Institute "Tech-Pole" is a composite index combining the percentage of national high-tech real output and the concentration of high-tech industries – or location quotient – for each metro.

² Location Quotient compares the value of high-tech output as a share of total output in a metro area relative to the same percentage for the United States. If LQ > 1, high-tech industry is more concentrated in the metro than in the U.S. on average.

³ % of National Real Output measures the percentage of all the nation's high-tech output that comes from that metro.

⁴ Relative Output Growth (1990–98) measures growth in output of high-tech industries as compared to the national growth rate in high-tech. A value of more than 1.0 means the metro's high-tech output grew faster than the nation's high-tech growth from 1990-98.

⁵ Rank is by 315 US MSAs

Table 53 New Economy Indicators, Milwaukee and Comparable MSAs,

	Chi	cago	Clev	eland	Colu	mhue	2000 Dei	nver	De	troit	India	napolis	Milw	aukee	Minneapolis	
Indicator	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score
Overall Score	19	37.7	33	29.5	36	28.5	7	58.1	28	31.8	29	31	40	26.5	10	49
Aggregated Knowledge	35	8.9	19	10.4	22	10.1	2	12.8	29	9.8	28	9.8	32	9.6	3	12.8
Jobs		0.0		10.4		10.1	_	12.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		12.0
Managerial, Professional	29	36%	17	39%	19	38%	5	45%	23	37%	15	39%	26	37%	4	45%
& Tech Jobs ¹																
Workforce Education ²	36	0.53	26	0.6	27	0.59	3	0.68	30	0.58	33	0.55	32	0.56	4	0.68
Aggregated Globalism	24	9.4	34	9	47	8.5	48	8.5	8	10.8	18	9.6	45	8.6	16	9.9
Scores																
Export Focus Of	24	\$32,000	34	\$26,000	47	\$18,000	48	\$17,000	8	\$55,000	18	\$36,000	45	\$19,000	16	\$40,000
Manufacturing ³																
Aggregated Economic Dynamism Scores	25	9.7	38	8.4	43	8.2	4	12	48	7.5	35	8.7	30	9.3	17	10.7
"Gazelle" Jobs 4	28	9.40%	32	9.40%	39	8.50%	25	9.60%	44	8.10%	38	8.60%	16	10.30%	18	10.20%
Job Churning ⁵	17	10.1	44	9.3	36	9.6	1	11.7	48	9.1	24	10	34	9.6	12	10.6
New Publicly Traded	16	3.5	41	0.5	37	0.9	6	7.5	40	0.6	35	1	38	0.9	15	3.6
Companies 6																
Aggregated Digital	14	10.6	29	7.9	35	7.1	5	12.9	30	7.8	23	8.9	32	7.3	20	9.4
Economy Scores																
Online Population 7	19	44.80%	37	37.30%	27	41.10%	7	49.00%	36	38.80%	23	42.30%	35	38.90%	18	45.00%
Broadband	6	3.94	26	2.78	31	2.61	2	4.52	14	3.34	28	2.76	48	2.02	21	2.86
Telecommunications																
Capacity 8																
Computer Use In Schools	24	68%	10	75%	19	70%	35	64%	17	72%	7	77%	4	79%	9	76%
Commercial Internet	26	0.8	38	0.55	30	0.63	13	1.05	37	0.56	39	0.54	33	0.58	21	0.91
Domain Names 10																
Internet Backbone 11	17	41	21	35	48	7	4	57	41	18	18	39	29	24	44	15
Aggregated Innovation	24	9.2	31	8.3	19	9.6	7	11.5	23	9.3	38	7.7	36	7.9	10	10.6
Capacity																
High-Tech Jobs 12	15	4.00%	36	2.60%	26	3.00%	5	5.10%	33	2.70%	30	2.70%	31	2.70%	9	4.70%
Degrees Granted In	25	9.9	24	9.9	7	10.8	12	10.5	14	10.3	37	9.6	34	9.6	27	9.8
Science and Engineering																
Patents 14	21	0.5	20	0.51	34	0.3	14	0.54	8	0.71	15	0.53	27	0.47	4	0.85
Academic R&D 15	32	9.8	34	9.7	7	10.3	27	9.8	20	9.9	49	9.4	41	9.6	25	9.9
Venture Capital 16	21	0.23%	42	0.04%	44	0.04%	6	1.20%	40	0.04%	48	0.01%	38	0.08%	12	0.42%

Notes:

Source: Progressive Policy Institute and Andersen

¹ Managers, professionals, and technicians as a share of the total workforce

² A weighted measure of the educational attainment (advanced degrees, bachelor's degrees, or some college course work) of the workforce

³ Manufacturing export sales per manufacturing worker.

⁴ Jobs in gazelle companies (companies with annual sales revenue growth 20 percent or more for four straight years) as a share of total employment

⁵ A score based on the number of new start-ups and business failures within each metro

⁶ The number of companies' initial public stock offerings as a share of gross metropolitan product

⁷ The percentage of adults with Internet access at work or at home

⁸ The number of broadband competitors per zip code area

⁹ The percentage of children using computers in the classroom.

¹⁰ The number of commercial Internet domain names (".com") per total number of businesses

¹¹ Total capacity of all Internet backbone links to other metropolitan areas as share of employment

¹² Jobs in electronics and high-tech electronics manufacturing, software and computer-related services, telecommunications, data processing and information services, biomedical and electromedical services as a share of total employment.

¹³ A weighted measure of the degrees granted in scientific and technical fields as a share of the workforce

¹⁴ The number of utility patents issued to companies or individuals per 1,000 workers

¹⁵ A combined measure of industry investment in R&D at academic institutions and total academic R&D

¹⁷ Venture capital invested as a share of gross metropolitan product

Table 54 New Economy Indicators, Wisconsin and Select States, 2000

	Colo	rado	III	inois	Inc	diana	le	owa	Mic	higan	Minnesota		Nebraska		Ohio		Wisconsin	
Indicator	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score								
Overall	3	72.32	22	48.37	37	40.95	42	33.51	34	44.59	14	56.53	36	41.81	33	44.77	32	44.92
Aggregated Knowledge Jobs	3	9.08	9	8.07	43	4.07	38	4.73	34	4.96	7	8.11	16	6.81	27	5.57	30	5.32
Scores																		
Office Jobs 1	15	19.10%	5	22.90%	34	16.70%	28	17.70%	24	18.60%	7	21.50%	13	20.10%	14	20.00%	23	18.60%
Managerial, Professional, and	4	27.90%	8	27.70%	36	22.30%	38	22.10%	45	20.50%	7	27.70%	18	25.40%	23	24.60%	30	23.60%
Technical Jobs ²																		
Workforce Education ³	1	75.9	22	60.6	42	48.5	37	52.65	31	56.25	14	63.6	26	59.65	40	50.75	36	53.15
Aggregated Globalization	27	5.88	20	6.3	19	6.36	42	4.53	24	6.19	26	5.9	46	4.09	13	6.68	39	5.05
Scores																		
Export Focus of	17	18.20%	15	18.60%	23	17.80%	39	14.90%	11	20.40%	20	18.00%	47	13.70%	13	20.00%	27	17.30%
Manufacturing ⁴																		
Foreign Direct Investment 5	25	3.50%	19	4.00%	15	4.20%	42	2.40%	28	3.40%	22	3.60%	45	2.00%	17	4.20%	40	2.50%
Aggregated Economic	3	9.5	22	6.4	34	5	50	2.7	41	4	40	4.1	35	4.8	30	5.4	27	5.8
Dynamism Scores																		
"Gazelle" Jobs ⁶	28	13.60%	17	14.40%	26	13.80%	46	12.10%	42	12.40%	35	13.20%	18	14.40%	29	13.60%	10	15.40%
Job Churning ⁷	3	3.50%	24	2.40%	32	2.20%	49	1.40%	31	2.20%	45	1.70%	43	1.80%	28	2.30%	35	2.10%
Initial Public Offerings 8	4	1.05%	16	0.39%	30	0.17%	31	0.16%	38	0.08%	22	0.25%	25	0.21%	20	0.31%	26	0.19%
Aggregated Digital Economy	4	9.73	44	2.86	28	5.41	36	4.89	33	5.01	9	8.62	19	6.71	35	4.94	14	7.22
Scores																		
Online Population ⁹	2	47%	43	26%	41	26%	38	27%	42	26%	12	35%	30	30%	28	30%	29	30%
Commercial Internet Domain	6	0.32	20	0.24	31	0.18	45	0.13	36	0.17	23	0.23	40	0.14	27	0.2	32	0.18
Names ¹⁰																		
Technology in Schools 11	13	2.61	38	1.42	23	1.94	11	2.72	39	1.4	7	2.92	4	3.16	36	1.49	22	1.99
Digital Government 12	30	58.5	50	39.4	16	67.1	26	59.5	9	70.6	8	71.2	15	67.2	27	59.4	2	79.5
Aggregated Innovation	4	10.97	19	6.6	29	4.68	32	4.05	15	7.63	10	8.58	36	3.66	25	5.31	30	4.68
Capacity Scores																		
High-Tech Jobs 13	2	8.00%	21	4.20%	33	2.70%	31	2.90%	34	2.60%	7	5.90%	20	4.40%	32	2.90%	35	2.40%
Scientists and Engineers 14	6	0.56%	23	0.38%	43	0.29%	39	0.31%	27	0.36%	24	0.38%	34	0.33%	26	0.37%	44	0.29%
Patents 15	12	0.6	14	0.53	24	0.42	30	0.27	10	0.64	9	0.72	39	0.19	18	0.5	20	0.47
	15	1.70%	17	1.60%	12	1.80%	21	1.40%	1	4.90%	11	2.00%	41	0.30%	22	1.40%	24	1.30%
Industry Investment in R&D 16																		
Venture Capital ¹⁷	3	0.34%	15	0.11%	33	0.03%	35	0.02%	31	0.04%	7	0.17%	42	0.00%	29	0.06%	27	0.07%
Notos:										•								·

Notes:

Source: Progressive Policy Institute and Andersen

¹ Jobs in offices as a share of the total number of jobs in each state.

² Managers, professionals, and technicians as a share of the total workforce.

³ A weighted measure of the educational attainment of the workforce (advanced degrees, bachelor's degrees, associate's degrees, or some college course work).

⁴ The share of jobs in manufacturing companies dependent upon exports.

⁵ The percentage of each state's workforce employed by foreign companies.

⁶ Jobs in gazelle companies (companies with annual sales revenue that has grown 20 percent or more for four straight years) as a share of total employment.

⁷ The number of new start-ups and business failures, combined, as a share of all companies in each state.

⁸ The value of the initial public stock offerings of companies as a share of gross state product.

⁹ The percentage of adults with Internet access in each state.

¹⁰ The number of commercial Internet domain names (".com") per firm.

¹¹ A weighted measure of the percentage of classrooms wired for the Internet, teachers with technology training, and schools with more than 50 percent of teachers having school-based e-mail accounts.

¹² A measure of the utilization of digital technologies in state governments.

¹³ Jobs in high-tech electronics manufacturing, software and computer-related services, and telecommunications as a share of total employment.

¹⁴ Civilian scientists and engineers as a percentage of the workforce.

¹⁵ The number of patents issued to companies or individuals per 1,000 workers.

¹⁶ Private sector investment in research and development as a share of Gross State Product.

¹⁷ Venture capital invested as a percentage of Gross State Product.